

2021

**International Standard on
Quality Control (Ireland) 1**

**Quality Control for Firms that
Perform Audits and Reviews of
Financial Statements, And Other
Assurance and Related Services
Engagements**

November 2020

Mission

To contribute to Ireland having a strong regulatory environment in which to do business by supervising and promoting high quality financial reporting, auditing and effective regulation of the accounting profession in the public interest.

© This publication contains copyright material of both the International Federation of Accountants and the Financial Reporting Council Limited. All rights reserved.
Reproduced and modified by the Irish Auditing and Accounting Supervisory Authority with the permission of the International Federation of Accountants and the Financial Reporting Council Limited. No permission granted to third parties to reproduce or distribute.

**INTERNATIONAL STANDARD ON QUALITY CONTROL
(IRELAND) 1**
(Revised November 2020)

**QUALITY CONTROL FOR FIRMS THAT PERFORM AUDITS AND
REVIEWS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, AND OTHER ASSURANCE
AND RELATED SERVICES ENGAGEMENTS**

CONTENTS

	Paragraph
Introduction	
Scope of this ISQC (Ireland)	1–3
Authority of this ISQC (Ireland)	4–9
Effective Date	10
Objective	11
Definitions	12
Requirements	
Applying, and Complying with, Relevant Requirements	13–15D-1
Elements of a System of Quality Control	16–17
Leadership Responsibilities for Quality within the Firm	18–19
Relevant Ethical Requirements	20–25
Acceptance and Continuance of Client Relationships and Specific Engagements ..	26–28
Human Resources	29–31D-1
Engagement Performance	32–47
Monitoring	48–56
Documentation of the System of Quality Control	57–59D-1
Application and Other Explanatory Material	
Applying, and Complying with, Relevant Requirements	A1–A1-1
Elements of a System of Quality Control	A2–A3
Leadership Responsibilities for Quality within the Firm	A4–A6
Relevant Ethical Requirements	A7–A17
Acceptance and Continuance of Client Relationships and Specific Engagements ..	A18–A23
Human Resources	A24–A31
Engagement Performance	A32–A63
Monitoring	A64–A72

International Standard on Quality Control (Ireland) (ISQC (Ireland)) 1 (Revised November 2020) *Quality Control for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Statements, and Other Assurance and Related Services Engagements* should be read in conjunction with ISA (Ireland) 200 (Updated December 2018), *Overall Objectives of the Independent Auditor and the Conduct of an Audit in Accordance with International Standards on Auditing (Ireland)*.

Introduction

Scope of this ISQC (Ireland)

1. This International Standard on Quality Control (Ireland) (ISQC (Ireland)) deals with a firm's responsibilities for its system of quality control for audits and reviews of financial statements, and other assurance and related services engagements. This ISQC (Ireland) is to be read in conjunction with relevant ethical requirements.
- 1-1. In Ireland, ISQC (Ireland) 1 applies to firms that perform audits of financial statements and other public interest assurance engagements^{1a}.
2. Other pronouncements of the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB) set out additional standards and guidance on the responsibilities of firm personnel regarding quality control procedures for specific types of engagements. ISA (Ireland) 220 (Revised November 2020),¹ for example, deals with quality control procedures for audits of financial statements.
3. A system of quality control consists of policies designed to achieve the objective set out in paragraph 11 and the procedures necessary to implement and monitor compliance with those policies.

Authority of this ISQC (Ireland)

4. This ISQC (Ireland) applies to all firms of professional accountants in respect of audits and reviews of financial statements, and other assurance and related services engagements. The nature and extent of the policies and procedures developed by an individual firm to comply with this ISQC (Ireland) will depend on various factors such as the size and operating characteristics of the firm, and whether it is part of a network.
5. This ISQC (Ireland) contains the objective of the firm in following the ISQC (Ireland), and requirements designed to enable the firm to meet that stated objective. In addition, it contains related guidance in the form of application and other explanatory material, as discussed further in paragraph 8, and introductory material that provides context relevant to a proper understanding of the ISQC (Ireland), and definitions.
6. The objective provides the context in which the requirements of this ISQC (Ireland) are set, and is intended to assist the firm in:
 - Understanding what needs to be accomplished; and
 - Deciding whether more needs to be done to achieve the objective.
7. The requirements of this ISQC (Ireland) are expressed using "shall."
8. Where necessary, the application and other explanatory material provides further explanation of the requirements and guidance for carrying them out. In particular, it may:
 - Explain more precisely what a requirement means or is intended to cover.
 - Include examples of policies and procedures that may be appropriate in the circumstances.

^{1a} Public interest assurance engagements are audits of financial statements and other assurance engagements undertaken in accordance with performance standards issued by IAASA.

¹ ISA (Ireland) 220 (Revised November 2020), *Quality Control for an Audit of Financial Statements*.

While such guidance does not in itself impose a requirement, it is relevant to the proper application of the requirements. The application and other explanatory material may also provide background information on matters addressed in this ISQC (Ireland). Where appropriate, additional considerations specific to public sector audit organizations or smaller firms are included within the application and other explanatory material. These additional considerations assist in the application of the requirements in this ISQC (Ireland). They do not, however, limit or reduce the responsibility of the firm to apply and comply with the requirements in this ISQC (Ireland).

9. This ISQC (Ireland) includes, under the heading “Definitions,” a description of the meanings attributed to certain terms for purposes of this ISQC (Ireland). These are provided to assist in the consistent application and interpretation of this ISQC (Ireland), and are not intended to override definitions that may be established for other purposes, whether in law, regulation or otherwise. The Glossary of Terms^{1b} relating to International Standards issued by the IAASB in the *Handbook of International Quality Control, Auditing, Review, Other Assurance, and Related Services* published by IFAC includes the terms defined in this ISQC (Ireland). It also includes descriptions of other terms found in this ISQC (Ireland) to assist in common and consistent interpretation and translation.

Effective Date

10. Systems of quality control in compliance with this ISQC (Ireland) are required to be established for engagements relating to financial periods commencing on or after 15 July 2021.

Objective

11. The objective of the firm is to establish and maintain a system of quality control to provide it with reasonable assurance that:
 - (a) The firm and its personnel comply with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements; and
 - (b) Reports issued by the firm or engagement partners are appropriate in the circumstances.

Definitions

12. In this ISQC (Ireland), the following terms have the meanings attributed below:
 - (a) Date of report – The date selected by the practitioner to date the report.
 - (b) Engagement documentation – The record of work performed, results obtained, and conclusions the practitioner reached (terms such as “working papers” or “workpapers” are sometimes used).

In Ireland, engagement documentation shall include all documents, information, records and other data required by this ISQC (Ireland), ISAs (Ireland) and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

^{1b} IAASA's Glossary of Terms defines terms used in the ISAs (Ireland). It comprises the Glossary of Terms issued by the IAASB supplemented by a small number of additional definitions.

- (c) Engagement partner² – The partner or other person in the firm who is responsible for the engagement and its performance, and for the report that is issued on behalf of the firm, and who, where required, has the appropriate authority from a professional, legal or regulatory body. An engagement partner is a key audit partner.
- (d) Engagement quality control review – A process designed to provide an objective evaluation, on or before the date of the report, of the significant judgments the engagement team made and the conclusions it reached in formulating the report. The engagement quality control review process is for audits of financial statements of listed entities, and those other engagements, if any, for which the firm has determined an engagement quality control review is required.
- (e) Engagement quality control reviewer – A partner, other person in the firm, suitably qualified external person, or a team made up of such individuals, none of whom is part of the engagement team, with sufficient and appropriate experience and authority to objectively evaluate the significant judgments the engagement team made and the conclusions it reached in formulating the report.
- (f) Engagement team – All partners and staff performing the engagement, and any individuals engaged by the firm or a network firm who perform procedures on the engagement. This excludes an auditor's external expert engaged by the firm or by a network firm. The term "engagement team" also excludes individuals within the client's internal audit function who provide direct assistance on an audit engagement when the external auditor complies with the requirements of ISA (Ireland) 610.³
- (g) Firm – A sole practitioner, partnership or corporation or other entity of professional accountants.
- (h) Inspection – In relation to completed engagements, procedures designed to provide evidence of compliance by engagement teams with the firm's quality control policies and procedures.

(h)-1 Key audit partner(s) – Is defined^{3a} as:

- (i) the statutory auditor(s) designated by an audit firm for a particular audit engagement as being primarily responsible for carrying out the statutory audit on behalf of the audit firm; or
- (ii) In the case of a group audit, at least the statutory auditor(s) designated by an audit firm as being primarily responsible for carrying out the statutory

² "Engagement partner," "partner," and "firm" should be read as referring to their public sector equivalents where relevant.

³ ISA 610 (Ireland), *Using the Work of Internal Auditors*, establishes limits on the use of direct assistance. It also acknowledges that the external auditor may be prohibited by law or regulation from obtaining direct assistance from internal auditors. Therefore, the use of direct assistance is restricted to situations where it is permitted.

The use of internal auditors to provide direct assistance is prohibited in an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (Ireland) – see ISA (Ireland) 610, paragraph 5-1.

^{3a} Article 2(16) of the EU Audit Directive refers.

audit at the level of the group and the statutory auditor(s) designated as being primarily responsible at the level of material subsidiaries;^{3b} or

(iii) The statutory auditor(s) who sign(s) the audit report.

(i) Listed entity – An entity whose shares, stock or debt are quoted or listed on a recognized stock exchange, or are marketed under the regulations of a recognized stock exchange or other equivalent body.

In Ireland, this includes any company in which the public can trade shares on the open market, such as the Irish Stock Exchange (including those admitted to trade on the Irish Euronext Growth Market). It does not include entities whose quoted or listed shares, stock or debt are in substance not freely transferable or cannot be traded freely by the public or the entity.

(j) Monitoring – A process comprising an ongoing consideration and evaluation of the firm's system of quality control, including a periodic inspection of a selection of completed engagements, designed to provide the firm with reasonable assurance that its system of quality control is operating effectively.

(k) Network firm – A firm or entity that belongs to a network.

(l) Network – A larger structure:

(i) That is aimed at cooperation, and

(ii) That is clearly aimed at profit or cost-sharing or shares common ownership, control or management, common quality control policies and procedures, common business strategy, the use of a common brand name, or a significant part of professional resources.

(m) Partner – Any individual with authority to bind the firm with respect to the performance of a professional services engagement.

(n) Personnel – Partners and staff.

(o) Professional standards – IAASB Engagement Standards, as defined in the IAASB's *Preface to the International Standards on Quality Control, Auditing, Review, Other Assurance, and Related Services*, and relevant ethical requirements.

(o)-1 Public interest entity – Is defined in Irish legislation^{3c} as undertakings that

(i) have transferable securities admitted to trading on a regulated market⁴ of any Member State,

(ii) are credit institutions (within the meaning of Article 4(1)(1) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁵, other

^{3b} Paragraph A4-2 of ISA (Ireland) 600 discusses the concept of material subsidiaries in more detail.

^{3c} Section 1461(1) of the Companies Act 2014 refers.

⁴ “Regulated market” has the same meaning as in Regulation 2 of Transparency (Directive 2004/109/EC) Regulations 2007 (Statutory Instrument 277 of 2007). “Transferable securities” means anything which is a transferable security for the purposes of Directive 2004/39/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on markets in financial instruments.

⁵ Regulation (EU) 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms and amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012.

than those listed in Article 2 of Directive 2013/36/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on access to the activity of credit institutions and investment firms); or

(iii) are insurance undertakings (within the meaning given by Article 2(1) of Council Directive 91/674/EEC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the annual accounts and consolidated accounts of insurance undertakings).

No other entities have been specifically designated in law in Ireland as 'public interest entities'.

(p) Reasonable assurance – In the context of this ISQC (Ireland), a high, but not absolute, level of assurance.

(q) Relevant ethical requirements – Ethical requirements to which the engagement team and engagement quality control reviewer are subject, which ordinarily comprise Parts A and B of the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants' *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* (IESBA Code) together with national requirements that are more restrictive.

In Ireland, the firm and its personnel are subject to ethical requirements from two sources: IAASA's Ethical Standard concerning the integrity, objectivity and independence of the firm and its personnel, and the ethical pronouncements established by the auditor or assurance practitioner's relevant professional body.

(r) Staff – Professionals, other than partners, including any experts the firm employs.

(s) Suitably qualified external person – An individual outside the firm with the competence and capabilities to act as an engagement partner, for example a partner of another firm, or an employee (with appropriate experience) of either a professional accountancy body whose members may perform audits and reviews of historical financial information, or other assurance or related services engagements, or of an organization that provides relevant quality control services.

Requirements

Applying, and Complying with, Relevant Requirements

13. Personnel within the firm responsible for establishing and maintaining the firm's system of quality control shall have an understanding of the entire text of this ISQC (Ireland), including its application and other explanatory material, to understand its objective and to apply its requirements properly.
14. The firm shall comply with each requirement of this ISQC (Ireland) unless, in the circumstances of the firm, the requirement is not relevant to the services provided in respect of audits and reviews of financial statements, and other assurance and related services engagements. (Ref: Para. A1-A1-1)
15. The requirements are designed to enable the firm to achieve the objective stated in this ISQC (Ireland). The proper application of the requirements is therefore expected to provide a sufficient basis for the achievement of the objective. However, because circumstances vary widely and all such circumstances cannot be anticipated, the firm shall consider whether there are particular matters or circumstances that require the

firm to establish policies and procedures in addition to those required by this ISQC (Ireland) to meet the stated objective.

15D-1. An auditor and audit firm shall:

- (a) Take into consideration the scale and complexity of the firm's activities when complying with the requirements set out in paragraphs 16D-1, 16D-2, 20D-1, 21D-1, 29D-1, 29D-2, 32D-1 and 48D-1 of this ISQC (Ireland); and
- (b) Be able to demonstrate to the competent authority^{3d} that the firm's policies and procedures designed to achieve compliance with the applicable requirements of this ISQC (Ireland) are appropriate given the scale and complexity of the firm's activities.

Elements of a System of Quality Control

16. The firm shall establish and maintain a system of quality control that includes policies and procedures that address each of the following elements:

- (a) Leadership responsibilities for quality within the firm.
- (b) Relevant ethical requirements.
- (c) Acceptance and continuance of client relationships and specific engagements.
- (d) Human resources.
- (e) Engagement performance.
- (f) Monitoring.

16D-1. An auditor and audit firm shall establish appropriate policies and procedures to ensure that no partner, director, member or shareholder of the statutory auditor and audit firm, or partner, director, member or shareholder of a network firm, intervenes in the carrying-out of an engagement in any way which jeopardizes the statutory auditor and audit firm's independence and objectivity in carrying out such work.

16D-2. An auditor and audit firm shall have:

- (a) Sound administrative and accounting procedures;
- (b) Internal quality control mechanisms which are designed to secure compliance with decisions and procedures at all levels of the auditors and audit firm's working structure;
- (c) Effective procedures for risk assessment; and
- (d) Effective control and safeguard arrangements for information processing systems.

17. The firm shall document its policies and procedures and communicate them to the firm's personnel. (Ref: Para. A2-A3)

Leadership Responsibilities for Quality within the Firm

18. The firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to promote an internal culture recognizing that quality is essential in performing engagements. Such policies and procedures shall require the firm's chief executive officer (or equivalent) or, if

^{3d} In Ireland, the competent authority designated by law is IAASA or the recognized accountancy body authorized to perform Part 27 functions, as applicable.

appropriate, the firm's managing board of partners (or equivalent) to assume ultimate responsibility for the firm's system of quality control. (Ref: Para. A4-A5)

19. The firm shall establish policies and procedures such that any person or persons assigned operational responsibility for the firm's system of quality control by the firm's chief executive officer or managing board of partners has sufficient and appropriate experience and ability, and the necessary authority, to assume that responsibility. (Ref: Para. A6)

Relevant Ethical Requirements

20. The firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to provide it with reasonable assurance that the firm and its personnel comply with relevant ethical requirements. (Ref: Para. A7-A10)

20D-1. An auditor and audit firm shall establish appropriate and effective organizational and administrative arrangements for dealing with and recording incidents which have, or may have, serious consequences for the integrity of the auditor and audit firm's audit.

Independence

21. The firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to provide it with reasonable assurance that the firm, its personnel and, where applicable, others subject to independence requirements (including network firm personnel) maintain independence where required by relevant ethical requirements. Such policies and procedures shall enable the firm to: (Ref: Para. A10)

- (a) Communicate its independence requirements to its personnel and, where applicable, others subject to them; and
- (b) Identify and evaluate circumstances and relationships that create threats to independence, and to take appropriate action to eliminate those threats or reduce them to an acceptable level by applying safeguards, or, if considered appropriate, to withdraw from the engagement, where withdrawal is permitted by law or regulation.

21D-1 An auditor and audit firm shall establish appropriate and effective organizational and administrative arrangements to prevent, identify, eliminate or manage and disclose any threats to the auditors and audit firm's independence as required by IAASA's Ethical Standard.

22. Such policies and procedures shall require: (Ref: Para. A10)

- (a) Engagement partners to provide the firm with relevant information about client engagements, including the scope of services, to enable the firm to evaluate the overall impact, if any, on independence requirements;
- (b) Personnel to promptly notify the firm of circumstances and relationships that create a threat to independence so that appropriate action can be taken; and
- (c) The accumulation and communication of relevant information to appropriate personnel so that:
 - (i) The firm and its personnel can readily determine whether they satisfy independence requirements;
 - (ii) The firm can maintain and update its records relating to independence; and

- (iii) The firm can take appropriate action regarding identified threats to independence that are not at an acceptable level.
- 23. The firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to provide it with reasonable assurance that it is notified of breaches of independence requirements, and to enable it to take appropriate actions to resolve such situations. The policies and procedures shall include requirements for: (Ref: Para. A10)
 - (a) Personnel to promptly notify the firm of independence breaches of which they become aware;
 - (b) The firm to promptly communicate identified breaches of these policies and procedures to:
 - (i) The engagement partner who, with the firm, needs to address the breach; and
 - (ii) Other relevant personnel in the firm and, where appropriate, the network, and those subject to the independence requirements who need to take appropriate action; and
 - (c) Prompt communication to the firm, if necessary, by the engagement partner and the other individuals referred to in subparagraph (b)(ii) of the actions taken to resolve the matter, so that the firm can determine whether it should take further action.
- 24. At least annually, the firm shall obtain written confirmation of compliance with its policies and procedures on independence from all firm personnel required to be independent by relevant ethical requirements. (Ref: Para. A10-A11)
- 25. The firm shall establish policies and procedures:
 - (a) Setting out criteria for determining the need for safeguards to reduce the familiarity threat to an acceptable level when using the same senior personnel on an assurance engagement over a long period of time; and
 - (b) Requiring, for audits of financial statements of listed entities, the rotation of the engagement partner and the individuals responsible for engagement quality control review, and where applicable, others subject to rotation requirements, after a specified period in compliance with relevant ethical requirements. (Ref: Para. A10, A12-A17)

Acceptance and Continuance of Client Relationships and Specific Engagements

- 26. The firm shall establish policies and procedures for the acceptance and continuance of client relationships and specific engagements, designed to provide the firm with reasonable assurance that it will only undertake or continue relationships and engagements where the firm:
 - (a) Is competent to perform the engagement and has the capabilities, including time and resources, to do so; (Ref: Para. A18, A23)
 - (b) Can comply with relevant ethical requirements; and
 - (c) Has considered the integrity of the client, and does not have information that would lead it to conclude that the client lacks integrity. (Ref: Para. A19-A20, A23)
- 27. Such policies and procedures shall require:

- (a) The firm to obtain such information as it considers necessary in the circumstances before accepting an engagement with a new client, when deciding whether to continue an existing engagement, and when considering acceptance of a new engagement with an existing client. (Ref: Para. A21, A23)
- (b) If a potential conflict of interest is identified in accepting an engagement from a new or an existing client, the firm to determine whether it is appropriate to accept the engagement.
- (c) If issues have been identified, and the firm decides to accept or continue the client relationship or a specific engagement, the firm to document how the issues were resolved.

27D-1. Before accepting or continuing an audit engagement, the auditor and audit firm shall assess the following:

- (a) Whether the auditor and audit firm complies with relevant independence and objectivity requirements in IAASA's Ethical Standard;
- (b) Whether there are threats to the auditor and audit firm's independence, and the safeguards applied to mitigate those threats;
- (c) Whether the auditor and audit firm has the competent *personnel*, time and resources needed in order to carry out the audit in an appropriate manner; and
- (d) Whether the key audit partner is eligible for appointment as a statutory auditor.^{3e}

27R-2. Before accepting or continuing an engagement for an audit engagement of a public interest entity, the firm shall also assess the following:

- (a) Whether the auditor and audit firm complies with the audit fees and the prohibition of the provision of non-audit services requirements in IAASA's Ethical Standard;
- (b) Whether the conditions for the duration of the audit engagement in accordance with the Audit Regulation^{3f} are complied with; and
- (c) Without prejudice to Irish anti-money laundering requirements,^{3g} the integrity of the members of the supervisory, administrative and management bodies of the public interest entity.

28. The firm shall establish policies and procedures on continuing an engagement and the client relationship, addressing the circumstances where the firm obtains information that would have caused it to decline the engagement had that information been available earlier. Such policies and procedures shall include consideration of:

- (a) The professional and legal responsibilities that apply to the circumstances, including whether there is a requirement for the firm to report to the person or persons who made the appointment or, in some cases, to regulatory authorities; and
- (b) The possibility of withdrawing from the engagement or from both the engagement

^{3e} The eligibility for appointment as a statutory auditor is dealt with Chapter 2 of Part 27 of the Companies Act 2014.

^{3f} Regulation (EU) No 537/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014.

^{3g} Implemented pursuant to Directive 2005/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 October 2005.

and the client relationship. (Ref: Para. A22-A23)

28D-1. For audits of financial statements, where the auditor and audit firm ceases to hold office as a statutory auditor, or ceases to be eligible for appointment as a statutory auditor,^{3e} the statutory auditor and audit firm shall provide the successor statutory auditor and audit firm with access to all relevant information concerning the entity, including information concerning the most recent audit.^{3h} (Ref: Para. A22-1)

Human Resources

29. The firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to provide it with reasonable assurance that it has sufficient personnel with the competence, capabilities, and commitment to ethical principles necessary to:

- (a) Perform engagements in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements; and
- (b) Enable the firm or engagement partners to issue reports that are appropriate in the circumstances. (Ref: Para. A24-A29)

29D-1. For audits of financial statements, the auditor and audit firm shall:

- (a) Establish appropriate policies and procedures to ensure that the auditors and audit firm's personnel and any other individuals whose services are placed at the statutory auditors and audit firm's disposal or under the auditors and audit firm's control, and who are directly involved in the audit activities, have appropriate knowledge and experience for the duties assigned; and
- (b) Have in place adequate remuneration policies, including profit-sharing policies, providing sufficient performance incentives to secure audit quality, including provision that the amount of revenue that the firm derives from providing non-audit services to the audited entity shall not form part of the performance evaluation and remuneration of any person involved in, or able to influence the carrying out of, the audit.

Outsourcing

29D-2. For audits of financial statements, the auditor and audit firm shall establish appropriate policies and procedures to ensure that outsourcing of important audit functions is not undertaken in such a way as to impair the quality of the auditors and audit firm's internal quality control and the ability of the competent authority^{3d} to supervise the firm's compliance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

Assignment of Engagement Teams

30. The firm shall assign responsibility for each engagement to an engagement partner and shall establish policies and procedures requiring that:

- (a) The identity and role of the engagement partner are communicated to key members of client management and those charged with governance;
- (b) The engagement partner has the appropriate competence, capabilities, and

^{3h} The relevant ethical guidance on proposed communications with a predecessor auditor is provided by the ethical pronouncements relating to the work of auditors issued by the auditor's relevant professional body and Section 1521 of the Companies Act refers.

authority to perform the role; and

(c) The responsibilities of the engagement partner are clearly defined and communicated to that partner. (Ref: Para. A30)

30D-1. For each audit of financial statements, the firm shall:

- (a) Designate at least one key audit partner;³ⁱ
- (b) Apply as its main criteria in selecting such a key audit partner the need to secure:
 - (i) The quality of the audit; and
 - (ii) The firm's independence and competence in carrying out the audit; and
- (c) Ensure the key audit partner is actively involved in carrying out the audit.

31. The firm shall also establish policies and procedures to assign appropriate personnel with the necessary competence, and capabilities to:

- (a) Perform engagements in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements; and
- (b) Enable the firm or engagement partners to issue reports that are appropriate in the circumstances. (Ref: Para. A31)

31D-1. For audits of financial statements, the firm shall provide the key audit partner(s) with sufficient resources and with personnel that have the necessary competence and capabilities to carry out the firm's duties appropriately.

Engagement Performance

32. The firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to provide it with reasonable assurance that engagements are performed in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements, and that the firm or the engagement partner issue reports that are appropriate in the circumstances. Such policies and procedures shall include:

- (a) Matters relevant to promoting consistency in the quality of engagement performance; (Ref: Para. A32-A33)
- (b) Supervision responsibilities; and (Ref: Para. A34)
- (c) Review responsibilities. (Ref: Para. A35)

32D-1. For audits of financial statements, the audit firm shall:

- (a) Establish an internal quality control system to ensure the quality of the audit which covers at least the policies and procedures required by paragraph 32D-1(c);
- (b) Ensure that responsibility for the internal quality control system lies with a person who is eligible for appointment as a statutory auditor;^{3e}
- (c) Establish appropriate policies and procedures for carrying out audits, coaching, supervising and reviewing the activities of the firm's personnel and organizing the structure of the audit file;^{3j} and

³ⁱ For the purpose of this ISQC (Ireland), a key audit partner is also an engagement partner.

^{3j} ISA (Ireland) 230 (Updated December 2018), paragraph 14 sets out the requirement to assemble the audit documentation in an audit file. Paragraph 57D-1 of this ISQC (Ireland), paragraphs 24D-

(d) Use appropriate systems, resources and procedures to ensure continuity and regularity in the carrying out of the firm's audit activities.

33. The firm's review responsibility policies and procedures shall be determined on the basis that work of less experienced team members is reviewed by more experienced engagement team members.

Consultation

34. The firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to provide it with reasonable assurance that:

- (a) Appropriate consultation takes place on difficult or contentious matters;
- (b) Sufficient resources are available to enable appropriate consultation to take place;
- (c) The nature and scope of, and conclusions resulting from, such consultations are documented and are agreed by both the individual seeking consultation and the individual consulted; and
- (d) Conclusions resulting from consultations are implemented. (Ref: Para. A36-A40)

Engagement Quality Control Review

35. The firm shall establish policies and procedures requiring, for appropriate engagements, an engagement quality control review that provides an objective evaluation of the significant judgments made by the engagement team and the conclusions reached in formulating the report. Such policies and procedures shall:

- (a) Require an engagement quality control review for all audits of financial statements of listed entities;
- (b) Set out criteria against which all other audits and reviews of historical financial information and other assurance and related services engagements shall be evaluated to determine whether an engagement quality control review should be performed; and (Ref: Para. A41)
- (c) Require an engagement quality control review for all engagements, if any, meeting the criteria established in compliance with subparagraph (b).

36. The firm shall establish policies and procedures setting out the nature, timing and extent of an engagement quality control review. Such policies and procedures shall require that the engagement report not be dated until the completion of the engagement quality control review. (Ref: Para. A42-A43)

36R-1. For audits of financial statements of public interest entities, before the auditor's report and the additional report to the audit committee are issued,^{3k} the firm shall require that an engagement quality control review shall be performed to assess whether the key

1(b) and 25R-2 of ISA (Ireland) 220 (Revised November 2020), paragraphs 8D-1 and 14D-1 of ISA (Ireland) 230(Updated December 2018) and paragraph 23D-1 of ISA (Ireland) 260 (Revised November 2020) set out requirements in respect of documentation for audits.

^{3k} ISA (Ireland) 260 (Revised November 2020), *Communication with Those Charged with Governance*, paragraph 16R-2 deals with the auditor's responsibilities to prepare an additional report to the audit committee.

audit partner(s) could reasonably have come to the opinion and conclusions expressed in the draft of those reports.

37. The firm shall establish policies and procedures to require the engagement quality control review to include:

- (a) Discussion of significant matters with the engagement partner;
- (b) Review of the financial statements or other subject matter information and the proposed report;
- (c) Review of selected engagement documentation relating to significant judgments the engagement team made and the conclusions it reached; and
- (d) Evaluation of the conclusions reached in formulating the report and consideration of whether the proposed report is appropriate. (Ref: Para. A44)

37-1. The audit firm shall establish policies and procedures to require the engagement quality control reviewer to: (Ref: Para. A44-1-A44-2)

- (a) Consider the firm's compliance with IAASA's Ethical Standard in relation to the engagement;
- (b) Form an independent opinion as to the appropriateness and adequacy of the safeguards applied; and
- (c) Consider the adequacy of the documentation of the engagement partner's consideration of the objectivity and independence of the firm and its personnel.

38. For audits of financial statements of listed entities, the firm shall establish policies and procedures to require the engagement quality control review to also include consideration of the following:

- (a) The engagement team's evaluation of the firm's independence in relation to the specific engagement;
- (b) Whether appropriate consultation has taken place on matters involving differences of opinion or other difficult or contentious matters, and the conclusions arising from those consultations; and
- (c) Whether documentation selected for review reflects the work performed in relation to the significant judgments and supports the conclusions reached. (Ref: Para. A45-A46)

Criteria for the Eligibility of Engagement Quality Control Reviewers

39. The firm shall establish policies and procedures to address the appointment of engagement quality control reviewers and establish their eligibility through:

- (a) The technical qualifications required to perform the role, including the necessary experience and authority; and (Ref: Para. A47)
- (b) The degree to which an engagement quality control reviewer can be consulted on the engagement without compromising the reviewer's objectivity. (Ref: Para. A48)

39R-1. For audits of financial statements of public interest entities, the engagement quality control review shall be performed by an engagement quality control reviewer who shall:

- (a) Be eligible for appointment as a statutory auditor;^{3e} and

(b) Not be involved in the performance of the audit to which the engagement quality control review relates.

Where the audit is carried out by a firm and all the statutory auditors of that firm were involved in the carrying-out of the audit, the firm shall arrange for another firm to perform an engagement quality control review. Documents or information disclosed to the engagement quality control reviewer for this purpose shall be subject to professional secrecy.

40. The firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to maintain the objectivity of the engagement quality control reviewer. (Ref: Para. A49-A51)

41. The firm's policies and procedures shall provide for the replacement of the engagement quality control reviewer where the reviewer's ability to perform an objective review may be impaired.

Documentation of the Engagement Quality Control Review

42. The firm shall establish policies and procedures on documentation of the engagement quality control review which require documentation that:

(a) The procedures required by the firm's policies on engagement quality control review have been performed;

(b) The engagement quality control review has been completed on or before the date of the report; and

(c) The reviewer is not aware of any unresolved matters that would cause the reviewer to believe that the significant judgments the engagement team made and the conclusions it reached were not appropriate.

Differences of Opinion

43. The firm shall establish policies and procedures for dealing with and resolving differences of opinion within the engagement team, with those consulted and, where applicable, between the engagement partner and the engagement quality control reviewer. (Ref: Para. A52-A53)

43R-1. For statutory audits of financial statements of public interest entities, the audit firm shall also establish procedures for determining the manner in which any disagreement between the key audit partner(s) and the engagement quality control reviewer are to be resolved.

44. Such policies and procedures shall require that:

(a) Conclusions reached be documented and implemented; and

(b) The report not be dated until the matter is resolved.

Engagement Documentation

Completion of the Assembly of Final Engagement Files

45. The firm shall establish policies and procedures for engagement teams to complete the assembly of final engagement files on a timely basis after the engagement reports have been finalized. (Ref: Para. A54-A55)

Confidentiality, Safe Custody, Integrity, Accessibility and Retrievability of Engagement Documentation

46. The firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to maintain the confidentiality, safe custody, integrity, accessibility and retrievability of engagement documentation. (Ref: Para. A56-A59)

46D-1. An audit firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to:

- (a) Apply adequate provision on confidentiality and professional secrecy in relation to all information and documents to which the firm has access when carrying out an engagement; and
- (b) Ensure that the auditor and audit firm complies with applicable legal and regulatory requirements relating to the confidentiality of information received in the course of the engagement.

Retention of Engagement Documentation

47. The firm shall establish policies and procedures for the retention of engagement documentation for a period sufficient to meet the needs of the firm or as required by law or regulation. (Ref: Para. A60-A63)

Monitoring

Monitoring the Firm's Quality Control Policies and Procedures

48. The firm shall establish a monitoring process designed to provide it with reasonable assurance that the policies and procedures relating to the system of quality control are relevant, adequate, and operating effectively. This process shall:

- (a) Include an ongoing consideration and evaluation of the firm's system of quality control including, on a cyclical basis, inspection of at least one completed engagement for each engagement partner;
- (b) Require responsibility for the monitoring process to be assigned to a partner or partners or other persons with sufficient and appropriate experience and authority in the firm to assume that responsibility; and
- (c) Require that those performing the engagement or the engagement quality control review are not involved in inspecting the engagements. (Ref: Para. A64-A68)

48D-1. For audits of financial statements, the audit firm shall:

- (a) Monitor and evaluate the adequacy and effectiveness of the firm's systems, internal quality control mechanisms and arrangements established in accordance with this ISQC (Ireland) and take appropriate measures to address any deficiencies;
- (b) Carry out an annual evaluation of the internal quality control system, referred to in paragraph 32D-1(a); and
- (c) Keep records of the findings of the evaluation required by paragraph 48D-1(a) and any proposed measure to modify the internal quality control system.

External Monitoring of Group Audits

48D-2. Where the auditor and audit firm is subject to a quality assurance review or an investigation concerning a group audit, the auditor and audit firm shall be responsible

for complying with, and shall establish policies and procedures which require the group engagement team to comply with, any request by the competent authority:^{3d}

- (a) For relevant audit documentation retained by the group engagement team concerning the work performed by any component auditor for the purposes of the group audit (including any relevant component auditor's working papers relevant to the group audit);
- (b) To deliver any additional documentation of the work performed by any component auditor from a non-EEA member state for the purposes of the group audit, including that component auditor's working papers relevant to the group audit, where the competent authority is unable to obtain audit documentation of the work carried out by that component auditor.

48D-3. An audit firm shall establish policies and procedures, which require that, in order to comply with any request under paragraph 48D-2(b), the group engagement team shall either:

- (a) Retain copies of the documentation of the work carried out by the relevant component auditor for the purpose of the group audit (including the component auditor's working papers relevant to the group audit); or
- (b) Obtain the agreement of the relevant component auditor that the group engagement team shall have unrestricted access to such documentation on request; or
- (c) Retain documentation to show that the group engagement team has undertaken the appropriate procedures in order to gain access to the audit documentation, together with evidence supporting the existence of any impediments to such access; or
- (d) Take any other appropriate action.

Evaluating, Communicating and Remedyng Identified Deficiencies

- 49. The firm shall evaluate the effect of deficiencies noted as a result of the monitoring process and determine whether they are either:
 - (a) Instances that do not necessarily indicate that the firm's system of quality control is insufficient to provide it with reasonable assurance that it complies with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements, and that the reports issued by the firm or engagement partners are appropriate in the circumstances; or
 - (b) Systemic, repetitive or other significant deficiencies that require prompt corrective action.
- 50. The firm shall communicate to relevant engagement partners and other appropriate personnel deficiencies noted as a result of the monitoring process and recommendations for appropriate remedial action. (Ref: Para. A69)
- 51. Recommendations for appropriate remedial actions for deficiencies noted shall include one or more of the following:
 - (a) Taking appropriate remedial action in relation to an individual engagement or member of personnel;
 - (b) The communication of the findings to those responsible for training and

- professional development;
- (c) Changes to the quality control policies and procedures; and
- (d) Disciplinary action against those who fail to comply with the policies and procedures of the firm, especially those who do so repeatedly.

52. The firm shall establish policies and procedures to address cases where the results of the monitoring procedures indicate that a report may be inappropriate or that procedures were omitted during the performance of the engagement. Such policies and procedures shall require the firm to determine what further action is appropriate to comply with relevant professional standards and legal and regulatory requirements and to consider whether to obtain legal advice.

53. The firm shall communicate at least annually the results of the monitoring of its system of quality control to engagement partners and other appropriate individuals within the firm, including the firm's chief executive officer or, if appropriate, its managing board of partners. This communication shall be sufficient to enable the firm and these individuals to take prompt and appropriate action where necessary in accordance with their defined roles and responsibilities. Information communicated shall include the following:

- (a) A description of the monitoring procedures performed.
- (b) The conclusions drawn from the monitoring procedures.
- (c) Where relevant, a description of systemic, repetitive or other significant deficiencies and of the actions taken to resolve or amend those deficiencies.

54. Some firms operate as part of a network and, for consistency, may implement some of their monitoring procedures on a network basis. Where firms within a network operate under common monitoring policies and procedures designed to comply with this ISQC (Ireland), and these firms place reliance on such a monitoring system, the firm's policies and procedures shall require that:

- (a) At least annually, the network communicate the overall scope, extent and results of the monitoring process to appropriate individuals within the network firms; and
- (b) The network communicate promptly any identified deficiencies in the system of quality control to appropriate individuals within the relevant network firm or firms so that the necessary action can be taken,

in order that engagement partners in the network firms can rely on the results of the monitoring process implemented within the network, unless the firms or the network advise otherwise.

Complaints and Allegations

55. The firm shall establish policies and procedures designed to provide it with reasonable assurance that it deals appropriately with:

- (a) Complaints and allegations that the work performed by the firm fails to comply with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements; and
- (b) Allegations of non-compliance with the firm's system of quality control.

As part of this process, the firm shall establish clearly defined channels for firm personnel to raise any concerns in a manner that enables them to come forward without fear of reprisals. (Ref: Para. A70)

55D-1. For audits of financial statements, the audit firm shall keep records of any complaints made in writing about the performance of the audit engagements carried out.

56. If during the investigations into complaints and allegations, deficiencies in the design or operation of the firm's quality control policies and procedures or non-compliance with the firm's system of quality control by an individual or individuals are identified, the firm shall take appropriate actions as set out in paragraph 51. (Ref: Para. A71-A72)

Documentation of the System of Quality Control

57. The firm shall establish policies and procedures requiring appropriate documentation to provide evidence of the operation of each element of its system of quality control. (Ref: Para. A73-A75)

57D-1. For audits of financial statements, the audit firm shall retain engagement documentation that is important for monitoring compliance with this ISQC (Ireland) and other applicable legal requirements.

57D-2. For audits of financial statements, the audit firm shall also document:

- (a) Whether the firm complies with the independence and objectivity requirements in IAASA's Ethical Standard;
- (b) Whether there are any threats to the firm's independence, and the safeguards applied to mitigate those threats;
- (c) Whether the firm has the competent personnel, time and resources needed in order to carry out the audit in an appropriate manner; and
- (d) Whether the key audit partner(s) is eligible to be appointed as a statutory auditor.^{3e}

58. The firm shall establish policies and procedures that require retention of documentation for a period of time sufficient to permit those performing monitoring procedures to evaluate the firm's compliance with its system of quality control, or for a longer period if required by law or regulation.

58R-1. For audits of financial statements, the firm shall establish policies and procedures that require retention of audit documentation for a period that is not less than any period necessary to satisfy the requirements of any applicable laws or regulation relating to data protection and to meet the requirements for any applicable administrative and judicial proceedings, and that is in any case not less than six years from the date of the auditor's report.

59. The firm shall establish policies and procedures requiring documentation of complaints and allegations and the responses to them.

59D-1. For audits of financial statements, the audit firm shall:

- (a) Keep records of any breaches (other than breaches which the firm reasonably considers to be minor breaches) of professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements;

- (b) Keep records of any consequences of any breach recorded in accordance with paragraph 59D-1(a), the measures taken to address such a breach and to modify the firm's internal quality control system; and
- (c) Prepare an annual report containing an overview of any measures taken under paragraph 59D-1(b) and communicate that report internally.

59D-2. For audits of financial statements, the audit firm shall maintain a record which includes in respect of every audit:

- (a) The audited entity's name, address and place of business;
- (b) The name of the key audit partner or, where there is more than one key audit partner, the names of all the key audit partners; and
- (c) The fees charged for carrying out the audit and for other services in any financial year.

Application and Other Explanatory Material

Applying, and Complying with, Relevant Requirements

Considerations Specific to Smaller Firms (Ref: Para. 14)

A1. This ISQC (Ireland) does not call for compliance with requirements that are not relevant, for example, in the circumstances of a sole practitioner with no staff. Requirements in this ISQC (Ireland) such as those for policies and procedures for the assignment of appropriate personnel to the engagement team (see paragraph 31), for review responsibilities (see paragraph 33), and for the annual communication of the results of monitoring to engagement partners within the firm (see paragraph 53) are not relevant in the absence of staff.

A1-1. Requirements for audits of financial statements that derive from the Companies Act 2014 are required by legislation to be complied with.³ⁱ

Elements of a System of Quality Control (Ref: Para. 17)

A2. In general, communication of quality control policies and procedures to firm personnel includes a description of the quality control policies and procedures and the objectives they are designed to achieve, and the message that each individual has a personal responsibility for quality and is expected to comply with these policies and procedures. Encouraging firm personnel to communicate their views or concerns on quality control matters recognizes the importance of obtaining feedback on the firm's system of quality control.

Considerations Specific to Smaller Firms

A3. Documentation and communication of policies and procedures for smaller firms may be less formal and extensive than for larger firms.

³ⁱ Directive 2006/43/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 May 2006 and Regulation (EU) No 537/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014.

Leadership Responsibilities for Quality within the Firm

Promoting an Internal Culture of Quality (Ref: Para. 18)

A4. The firm's leadership and the examples it sets significantly influence the internal culture of the firm. The promotion of a quality-oriented internal culture depends on clear, consistent and frequent actions and messages from all levels of the firm's management that emphasize the firm's quality control policies and procedures, and the requirement to:

- (a) Perform work that complies with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements; and
- (b) Issue reports that are appropriate in the circumstances.

Such actions and messages encourage a culture that recognizes and rewards high quality work. These actions and messages may be communicated by, but are not limited to, training seminars, meetings, formal or informal dialogue, mission statements, newsletters, or briefing memoranda. They may be incorporated in the firm's internal documentation and training materials, and in partner and staff appraisal procedures such that they will support and reinforce the firm's view on the importance of quality and how, practically, it is to be achieved.

A5. Of particular importance in promoting an internal culture based on quality is the need for the firm's leadership to recognize that the firm's business strategy is subject to the overriding requirement for the firm to achieve quality in all the engagements that the firm performs. Promoting such an internal culture includes:

- (a) Establishment of policies and procedures that address performance evaluation, compensation, and promotion (including incentive systems) with regard to its personnel, in order to demonstrate the firm's overriding commitment to quality;
- (b) Assignment of management responsibilities so that commercial considerations do not override the quality of work performed; and
- (c) Provision of sufficient resources for the development, documentation and support of its quality control policies and procedures.

Assigning Operational Responsibility for the Firm's System of Quality Control (Ref: Para. 19)

A6. Sufficient and appropriate experience and ability enables the person or persons responsible for the firm's system of quality control to identify and understand quality control issues and to develop appropriate policies and procedures. Necessary authority enables the person or persons to implement those policies and procedures.

Relevant Ethical Requirements

Compliance with Relevant Ethical Requirements (Ref: Para. 20)

A7. The IESBA Code^{3m} establishes the fundamental principles of professional ethics, which include:

- (a) Integrity;

^{3m} See paragraph 12(q). Auditors in Ireland are subject to ethical requirements from two sources: IAASA's Ethical Standard and the ethical pronouncements established by the auditor's relevant professional body.

- (b) Objectivity;
- (c) Professional competence and due care;
- (d) Confidentiality; and
- (e) Professional behavior.

A8. Part B of the IESBA Code^{3m} illustrates how the conceptual framework is to be applied in specific situations. It provides examples of safeguards that may be appropriate to address threats to compliance with the fundamental principles and also provides examples of situations where safeguards are not available to address the threats.

A9. The fundamental principles are reinforced in particular by:

- The leadership of the firm;
- Education and training;
- Monitoring; and
- A process for dealing with non-compliance.

Definition of “Firm,” “Network” and “Network Firm” (Ref: Para. 20-25)

A10. The definitions of “firm,” “network” or “network firm” in relevant ethical requirements may differ from those set out in this ISQC (Ireland). For example, the IESBA Code defines the “firm” as:

- (i) A sole practitioner, partnership or corporation of professional accountants;
- (ii) An entity that controls such parties through ownership, management or other means; and
- (iii) An entity controlled by such parties through ownership, management or other means.

The IESBA Code also provides guidance in relation to the terms “network” and “network firm.”

In complying with the requirements in paragraphs 20-25, the definitions used in the relevant ethical requirements apply in so far as is necessary to interpret those ethical requirements.

Written Confirmation (Ref: Para. 24)

A11. Written confirmation may be in paper or electronic form. By obtaining confirmation and taking appropriate action on information indicating non-compliance, the firm demonstrates the importance that it attaches to independence and makes the issue current for, and visible to, its personnel.

Familiarity Threat (Ref: Para. 25)

A12. The IESBA Code^{3m} discusses the familiarity threat that may be created by using the same senior personnel on an assurance engagement over a long period of time and the safeguards that might be appropriate to address such threats.

A13. Determining appropriate criteria to address familiarity threat may include matters such as:

- The nature of the engagement, including the extent to which it involves a matter of public interest; and

- The length of service of the senior personnel on the engagement.

Examples of safeguards include rotating the senior personnel or requiring an engagement quality control review.

A14. The IESBA Code^{3m} recognizes that the familiarity threat is particularly relevant in the context of financial statement audits of listed entities. For these audits, the IESBA Code requires the rotation of the key audit partner⁷ after a pre-defined period, normally no more than seven years,^{4a} and provides related standards and guidance. National requirements may establish shorter rotation periods.

Considerations specific to public sector audit organizations

A15. Statutory measures may provide safeguards for the independence of public sector auditors. However, threats to independence may still exist regardless of any statutory measures designed to protect it. Therefore, in establishing the policies and procedures required by paragraphs 20-25, the public sector auditor may have regard to the public sector mandate and address any threats to independence in that context.

A16. Listed entities as referred to in paragraphs 25 and A14 are not common in the public sector. However, there may be other public sector entities that are significant due to size, complexity or public interest aspects, and which consequently have a wide range of stakeholders. Therefore, there may be instances when a firm determines, based on its quality control policies and procedures, that a public sector entity is significant for the purposes of expanded quality control procedures.

A17. In the public sector, legislation may establish the appointments and terms of office of the auditor with engagement partner responsibility. As a result, it may not be possible to comply strictly with the engagement partner rotation requirements envisaged for listed entities. Nonetheless, for public sector entities considered significant, as noted in paragraph A16, it may be in the public interest for public sector audit organizations to establish policies and procedures to promote compliance with the spirit of rotation of engagement partner responsibility.

Acceptance and Continuance of Client Relationships and Specific Engagements

Competence, Capabilities, and Resources (Ref: Para. 26(a))

A18. Consideration of whether the firm has the competence, capabilities, and resources to undertake a new engagement from a new or an existing client involves reviewing the specific requirements of the engagement and the existing partner and staff profiles at all relevant levels, and including whether:

- Firm personnel have knowledge of relevant industries or subject matters;
- Firm personnel have experience with relevant regulatory or reporting

⁷ As defined in the IESBA Code.

The IESBA definition of “key audit partner” is not the same definition as applied in IAASA’s ethical and auditing standards as IAASA’s definition is derived from the Audit Directive. See paragraph 12(h)-1.

^{4a} IAASA’s Ethical Standard, *Section 3 – Long Association with Engagements and with Entities Relevant to Engagements*, specifies for the audits of public interest entities and listed companies the rotation periods for the audit engagement partner, the engagement quality control reviewer, and other key partners involved in the engagement.

- requirements, or the ability to gain the necessary skills and knowledge effectively;
- The firm has sufficient personnel with the necessary competence and capabilities;
- Experts are available, if needed;
- Individuals meeting the criteria and eligibility requirements to perform engagement quality control review are available, where applicable; and
- The firm is able to complete the engagement within the reporting deadline.

Integrity of Client (Ref: Para. 26(c))

A19. With regard to the integrity of a client, matters to consider include, for example:

- The identity and business reputation of the client's principal owners, key management, and those charged with its governance.
- The nature of the client's operations, including its business practices.
- Information concerning the attitude of the client's principal owners, key management and those charged with its governance towards such matters as aggressive interpretation of accounting standards and the internal control environment.
- Whether the client is aggressively concerned with maintaining the firm's fees as low as possible.
- Indications of an inappropriate limitation in the scope of work.
- Indications that the client might be involved in money laundering or other criminal activities.
- The reasons for the proposed appointment of the firm and non-reappointment of the previous firm.
- The identity and business reputation of related parties.

The extent of knowledge a firm will have regarding the integrity of a client will generally grow within the context of an ongoing relationship with that client.

A20. Sources of information on such matters obtained by the firm may include the following:

- Communications with existing or previous providers of professional accountancy services to the client in accordance with relevant ethical requirements, and discussions with other third parties.
- Inquiry of other firm personnel or third parties such as bankers, legal counsel and industry peers.
- Background searches of relevant databases.

Continuance of Client Relationship (Ref: Para. 27(a))

A21. Deciding whether to continue a client relationship includes consideration of significant matters that have arisen during the current or previous engagements, and their implications for continuing the relationship. For example, a client may have started to expand its business operations into an area where the firm does not possess the necessary expertise.

Withdrawal (Ref: Para. 28)

A22. Policies and procedures on withdrawal from an engagement or from both the engagement and the client relationship address issues that include the following:

- Discussing with the appropriate level of the client's management and those charged with its governance the appropriate action that the firm might take based on the relevant facts and circumstances.
- If the firm determines that it is appropriate to withdraw, discussing with the appropriate level of the client's management and those charged with its governance withdrawal from the engagement or from both the engagement and the client relationship, and the reasons for the withdrawal.
- Considering whether there is a professional, legal or regulatory requirement for the firm to remain in place, or for the firm to report the withdrawal from the engagement, or from both the engagement and the client relationship, together with the reasons for the withdrawal, to regulatory authorities.
- Documenting significant matters, consultations, conclusions and the basis for the conclusions.

Statement by Auditor on Ceasing to Hold Office

A22-1. Auditors of Irish Companies, generally, are obliged to notify IAASA within one month of ceasing to hold office on the appropriate form which includes stating the reason for resignation notwithstanding that the auditor may have nothing to report to the members or creditors regarding their ceasing to hold office.^{4b}

Considerations Specific to Public Sector Audit Organizations (Ref: Para. 26-28)

A23. In the public sector, auditors may be appointed in accordance with statutory procedures. Accordingly, certain of the requirements and considerations regarding the acceptance and continuance of client relationships and specific engagements as set out paragraphs 26-28 and A18-A22 may not be relevant. Nonetheless, establishing policies and procedures as described may provide valuable information to public sector auditors in performing risk assessments and in carrying out reporting responsibilities.

Human Resources (Ref: Para. 29)

A24. Personnel issues relevant to the firm's policies and procedures related to human resources include, for example:

- Recruitment.
- Performance evaluation.
- Capabilities, including time to perform assignments.
- Competence.
- Career development.
- Promotion.
- Compensation.
- The estimation of personnel needs.

^{4b} See section 403 of the Companies Act 2014.

Effective recruitment processes and procedures help the firm select individuals of integrity who have the capacity to develop the competence and capabilities necessary to perform the firm's work and possess the appropriate characteristics to enable them to perform competently.

A25. Competence can be developed through a variety of methods, including the following:

- Professional education.
- Continuing professional development, including training.
- Work experience.
- Coaching by more experienced staff, for example, other members of the engagement team.
- Independence education for personnel who are required to be independent.

A26. The continuing competence of the firm's personnel depends to a significant extent on an appropriate level of continuing professional development so that personnel maintain their knowledge and capabilities. Effective policies and procedures emphasize the need for continuing training for all levels of firm personnel, and provide the necessary training resources and assistance to enable personnel to develop and maintain the required competence and capabilities.

A27. The firm may use a suitably qualified external person, for example, when internal technical and training resources are unavailable.

A28. Performance evaluation, compensation and promotion procedures give due recognition and reward to the development and maintenance of competence and commitment to ethical principles. Steps a firm may take in developing and maintaining competence and commitment to ethical principles include:

- Making personnel aware of the firm's expectations regarding performance and ethical principles;
- Providing personnel with evaluation of, and counseling on, performance, progress and career development; and
- Helping personnel understand that advancement to positions of greater responsibility depends, among other things, upon performance quality and adherence to ethical principles, and that failure to comply with the firm's policies and procedures may result in disciplinary action.

Considerations Specific to Smaller Firms

A29. The size and circumstances of the firm will influence the structure of the firm's performance evaluation process. Smaller firms, in particular, may employ less formal methods of evaluating the performance of their personnel.

Assignment of Engagement Teams

Engagement Partners (Ref: Para. 30)

A30. Policies and procedures may include systems to monitor the workload and availability of engagement partners so as to enable these individuals to have sufficient time to adequately discharge their responsibilities.

Engagement Teams (Ref: Para. 31)

A31. The firm's assignment of engagement teams and the determination of the level of supervision required, include for example, consideration of the engagement team's:

- Understanding of, and practical experience with, engagements of a similar nature and complexity through appropriate training and participation;
- Understanding of professional standards and legal and regulatory requirements;
- Technical knowledge and expertise, including knowledge of relevant information technology;
- Knowledge of relevant industries in which the clients operate;
- Ability to apply professional judgment; and
- Understanding of the firm's quality control policies and procedures.

Engagement Performance

Consistency in the Quality of Engagement Performance (Ref: Para. 32(a))

A32. The firm promotes consistency in the quality of engagement performance through its policies and procedures. This is often accomplished through written or electronic manuals, software tools or other forms of standardized documentation, and industry or subject matter-specific guidance materials. Matters addressed may include:

- How engagement teams are briefed on the engagement to obtain an understanding of the objectives of their work.
- Processes for complying with applicable engagement standards.
- Processes of engagement supervision, staff training and coaching.
- Methods of reviewing the work performed, the significant judgments made and the form of report being issued.
- Appropriate documentation of the work performed and of the timing and extent of the review.
- Processes to keep all policies and procedures current.

A33. Appropriate teamwork and training assist less experienced members of the engagement team to clearly understand the objectives of the assigned work.

Supervision (Ref: Para. 32(b))

A34. Engagement supervision includes the following:

- Tracking the progress of the engagement;
- Considering the competence and capabilities of individual members of the engagement team, whether they have sufficient time to carry out their work, whether they understand their instructions and whether the work is being carried out in accordance with the planned approach to the engagement;
- Addressing significant matters arising during the engagement, considering their significance and modifying the planned approach appropriately; and
- Identifying matters for consultation or consideration by more experienced engagement team members during the engagement.

Review (Ref: Para. 32(c))

A35. A review consists of consideration of whether:

- The work has been performed in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements;
- Significant matters have been raised for further consideration;
- Appropriate consultations have taken place and the resulting conclusions have been documented and implemented;
- There is a need to revise the nature, timing and extent of work performed;
- The work performed supports the conclusions reached and is appropriately documented;
- The evidence obtained is sufficient and appropriate to support the report; and
- The objectives of the engagement procedures have been achieved.

Consultation (Ref: Para. 34)

A36. Consultation includes discussion at the appropriate professional level, with individuals within or outside the firm who have specialized expertise.

A37. Consultation uses appropriate research resources as well as the collective experience and technical expertise of the firm. Consultation helps to promote quality and improves the application of professional judgment. Appropriate recognition of consultation in the firm's policies and procedures helps to promote a culture in which consultation is recognized as a strength and encourages personnel to consult on difficult or contentious matters.

A38. Effective consultation on significant technical, ethical and other matters within the firm, or where applicable, outside the firm can be achieved when those consulted:

- are given all the relevant facts that will enable them to provide informed advice; and
- have appropriate knowledge, seniority and experience,

and when conclusions resulting from consultations are appropriately documented and implemented.

A39. Documentation of consultations with other professionals that involve difficult or contentious matters that is sufficiently complete and detailed contributes to an understanding of:

- The issue on which consultation was sought; and
- The results of the consultation, including any decisions taken, the basis for those decisions and how they were implemented.

Considerations Specific to Smaller Firms

A40. A firm needing to consult externally, for example, a firm without appropriate internal resources, may take advantage of advisory services provided by:

- Other firms;
- Professional and regulatory bodies; or
- Commercial organizations that provide relevant quality control services.

Before contracting for such services, consideration of the competence and capabilities of the external provider helps the firm to determine whether the external provider is suitably qualified for that purpose.

Engagement Quality Control Review

Criteria for an Engagement Quality Control Review (Ref: Para. 35(b))

A41. Criteria for determining which engagements other than audits of financial statements of listed entities are to be subject to an engagement quality control review may include, for example:

- The nature of the engagement, including the extent to which it involves a matter of public interest.
- The identification of unusual circumstances or risks in an engagement or class of engagements.
- Whether laws or regulations require an engagement quality control review.

Nature, Timing and Extent of the Engagement Quality Control Review (Ref: Para. 36-37)

A42. The engagement report is not dated until the completion of the engagement quality control review. However, documentation of the engagement quality control review may be completed after the date of the report.

A43. Conducting the engagement quality control review in a timely manner at appropriate stages during the engagement allows significant matters to be promptly resolved to the engagement quality control reviewer's satisfaction on or before the date of the report.

A44. The extent of the engagement quality control review may depend, among other things, on the complexity of the engagement, whether the entity is a listed entity, and the risk that the report might not be appropriate in the circumstances. The performance of an engagement quality control review does not reduce the responsibilities of the engagement partner.

A44-1. The audit firm's policies and procedures set out whether there are circumstances in which an engagement quality control review is performed for other audit as described in paragraph 35(b).

A44-2. Where the involvement of an engagement quality control reviewer provides a safeguard to reduce to an acceptable level those threats to independence that have been identified as potentially arising from the provision of non-audit or additional services, the engagement quality control review specifically addresses the related threat by ensuring that the work that was performed in the course of the non-audit or additional service engagement has been properly and effectively assessed in the context of the audit of the financial statements.

Engagement Quality Control Review of a Listed Entity (Ref: Para. 38)

A45. Other matters relevant to evaluating the significant judgments made by the engagement team that may be considered in an engagement quality control review of an audit of financial statements of a listed entity include:

- Significant risks identified during the engagement and the responses to those risks.
- Judgments made, particularly with respect to materiality and significant risks.

- The significance and disposition of corrected and uncorrected misstatements identified during the engagement.
- The matters to be communicated to management and those charged with governance and, where applicable, other parties such as regulatory bodies.

These other matters, depending on the circumstances, may also be applicable for engagement quality control reviews for audits of the financial statements of other entities as well as reviews of financial statements and other assurance and related services engagements.

Considerations specific to public sector audit organizations

A46. Although not referred to as listed entities, as described in paragraph A16, certain public sector entities may be of sufficient significance to warrant performance of an engagement quality control review.

Criteria for the Eligibility of Engagement Quality Control Reviewers

Sufficient and Appropriate Technical Expertise, Experience and Authority (Ref: Para. 39(a))

A47. What constitutes sufficient and appropriate technical expertise, experience and authority depends on the circumstances of the engagement. For example, the engagement quality control reviewer for an audit of the financial statements of a listed entity is likely to be an individual with sufficient and appropriate experience and authority to act as an audit engagement partner on audits of financial statements of listed entities.

Consultation with the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer (Ref: Para. 39(b))

A48. The engagement partner may consult the engagement quality control reviewer during the engagement, for example, to establish that a judgment made by the engagement partner will be acceptable to the engagement quality control reviewer. Such consultation avoids identification of differences of opinion at a late stage of the engagement and need not compromise the engagement quality control reviewer's eligibility to perform the role. Where the nature and extent of the consultations become significant the reviewer's objectivity may be compromised unless care is taken by both the engagement team and the reviewer to maintain the reviewer's objectivity. Where this is not possible, another individual within the firm or a suitably qualified external person may be appointed to take on the role of either the engagement quality control reviewer or the person to be consulted on the engagement.

Objectivity of the Engagement Quality Control Reviewer (Ref: Para. 40)

A49. The firm is required to establish policies and procedures designed to maintain objectivity of the engagement quality control reviewer. Accordingly, such policies and procedures provide that the engagement quality control reviewer:

- Where practicable, is not selected by the engagement partner;
- Does not otherwise participate in the engagement during the period of review;
- Does not make decisions for the engagement team; and
- Is not subject to other considerations that would threaten the reviewer's objectivity.

Considerations specific to smaller firms

A50. It may not be practicable, in the case of firms with few partners, for the engagement partner not to be involved in selecting the engagement quality control reviewer. Suitably qualified external persons may be contracted where sole practitioners or small firms identify engagements requiring engagement quality control reviews. Alternatively, some sole practitioners or small firms may wish to use other firms to facilitate engagement quality control reviews. Where the firm contracts suitably qualified external persons, the requirements in paragraphs 39-41 and guidance in paragraphs A47-A48 apply.

Considerations specific to public sector audit organizations

A51. In the public sector, a statutorily appointed auditor (for example, the comptroller and Auditor General, or other suitably qualified person appointed on behalf of the Comptroller and Auditor General) may act in a role equivalent to that of engagement partner with overall responsibility for public sector audits. In such circumstances, where applicable, the selection of the engagement quality control reviewer includes consideration of the need for independence from the audited entity and the ability of the engagement quality control reviewer to provide an objective evaluation.

Differences of Opinion (Ref: Para. 43)

A52. Effective procedures encourage identification of differences of opinion at an early stage, provide clear guidelines as to the successive steps to be taken thereafter, and require documentation regarding the resolution of the differences and the implementation of the conclusions reached.

A53. Procedures to resolve such differences may include consulting with another practitioner or firm, or a professional or regulatory body.

Engagement Documentation

Completion of the Assembly of Final Engagement Files (Ref: Para. 45)

A54. Law or regulation may prescribe the time limits by which the assembly of final engagement files for specific types of engagement is to be completed. Where no such time limits are prescribed in law or regulation, paragraph 45 requires the firm to establish time limits that reflect the need to complete the assembly of final engagement files on a timely basis. In the case of an audit, for example, such a time limit would ordinarily not be more than 60 days after the date of the auditor's report.

A55. Where two or more different reports are issued in respect of the same subject matter information of an entity, the firm's policies and procedures relating to time limits for the assembly of final engagement files address each report as if it were for a separate engagement. This may, for example, be the case when the firm issues an auditor's report on a component's financial information for group consolidation purposes and, at a subsequent date, an auditor's report on the same financial information for statutory purposes.

Confidentiality, Safe Custody, Integrity, Accessibility and Retrievability of Engagement Documentation (Ref: Para. 46)

A56. Relevant ethical requirements establish an obligation for the firm's personnel to observe at all times the confidentiality of information contained in engagement documentation, unless specific client authority has been given to disclose information,

or there are responsibilities under law, regulation or relevant ethical requirements to do so⁹. Specific laws or regulations may impose additional obligations on the firm's personnel to maintain client confidentiality, particularly where data of a personal nature are concerned.

A57. Whether engagement documentation is in paper, electronic or other media, the integrity, accessibility or retrievability of the underlying data may be compromised if the documentation could be altered, added to or deleted without the firm's knowledge, or if it could be permanently lost or damaged. Accordingly, controls that the firm designs and implements to avoid unauthorized alteration or loss of engagement documentation may include those that:

- Enable the determination of when and by whom engagement documentation was created, changed or reviewed;
- Protect the integrity of the information at all stages of the engagement, especially when the information is shared within the engagement team or transmitted to other parties via the Internet;
- Prevent unauthorized changes to the engagement documentation; and
- Allow access to the engagement documentation by the engagement team and other authorized parties as necessary to properly discharge their responsibilities.

A58. Controls that the firm designs and implements to maintain the confidentiality, safe custody, integrity, accessibility and retrievability of engagement documentation may include the following:

- The use of a password among engagement team members to restrict access to electronic engagement documentation to authorized users.
- Appropriate back-up routines for electronic engagement documentation at appropriate stages during the engagement.
- Procedures for properly distributing engagement documentation to the team members at the start of the engagement, processing it during engagement, and collating it at the end of engagement.
- Procedures for restricting access to, and enabling proper distribution and confidential storage of, hardcopy engagement documentation.

A59. For practical reasons, original paper documentation may be electronically scanned for inclusion in engagement files. In such cases, the firm's procedures designed to maintain the integrity, accessibility, and retrievability of the documentation may include requiring the engagement teams to:

- Generate scanned copies that reflect the entire content of the original paper documentation, including manual signatures, cross-references and annotations;
- Integrate the scanned copies into the engagement files, including indexing and signing off on the scanned copies as necessary; and
- Enable the scanned copies to be retrieved and printed as necessary.

⁹ See, for example, Section 140.7 and 225.35 of the IESBA Code.

In Ireland, the auditor has regards to paragraph 46D-1 of this ISQC (Ireland) and any specific requirements of the auditor's Recognised Accountancy Body.

There may be legal, regulatory or other reasons for a firm to retain original paper documentation that has been scanned.

Retention of Engagement Documentation (Ref: Para. 47)

A60. The needs of the firm for retention of engagement documentation, and the period of such retention, will vary with the nature of the engagement and the firm's circumstances, for example, whether the engagement documentation is needed to provide a record of matters of continuing significance to future engagements. The retention period may also depend on other factors, such as whether local law or regulation prescribes specific retention periods for certain types of engagements, or whether there are generally accepted retention periods in the jurisdiction in the absence of specific legal or regulatory requirements.

A61. In the specific case of audit engagements, the retention period would ordinarily be no shorter than five years from the date of the auditor's report, or, if later, the date of the group auditor's report.

In Ireland, for statutory audits of financial statements, engagement documentation is retained in accordance with paragraph 58R-1. For other audit engagements, this requirement is applied having regard to specific requirements of the auditor's relevant professional body.

A62. Procedures that the firm adopts for retention of engagement documentation include those that enable the requirements of paragraph 47 to be met during the retention period, for example to:

- Enable the retrieval of, and access to, the engagement documentation during the retention period, particularly in the case of electronic documentation since the underlying technology may be upgraded or changed over time;
- Provide, where necessary, a record of changes made to engagement documentation after the engagement files have been completed; and
- Enable authorized external parties to access and review specific engagement documentation for quality control or other purposes.

Ownership of engagement documentation

A63. Unless otherwise specified by law or regulation, engagement documentation is the property of the firm. The firm may, at its discretion, make portions of, or extracts from, engagement documentation available to clients, provided such disclosure does not undermine the validity of the work performed, or, in the case of assurance engagements, the independence of the firm or its personnel.

Monitoring

Monitoring the Firm's Quality Control Policies and Procedures (Ref: Para. 48)

A64. The purpose of monitoring compliance with quality control policies and procedures is to provide an evaluation of:

- Adherence to professional standards and legal and regulatory requirements;
- Whether the system of quality control has been appropriately designed and effectively implemented; and
- Whether the firm's quality control policies and procedures have been appropriately applied, so that reports that are issued by the firm or engagement

partners are appropriate in the circumstances.

A65. Ongoing consideration and evaluation of the system of quality control include matters such as the following:

- Analysis of:
 - New developments in professional standards and legal and regulatory requirements, and how they are reflected in the firm's policies and procedures where appropriate;
 - Written confirmation of compliance with policies and procedures on independence;
 - Continuing professional development, including training; and
 - Decisions related to acceptance and continuance of client relationships and specific engagements.
- Determination of corrective actions to be taken and improvements to be made in the system, including the provision of feedback into the firm's policies and procedures relating to education and training.
- Communication to appropriate firm personnel of weaknesses identified in the system, in the level of understanding of the system, or compliance with it.
- Follow-up by appropriate firm personnel so that necessary modifications are promptly made to the quality control policies and procedures.

A66. Inspection cycle policies and procedures may, for example, specify a cycle that spans three years. The manner in which the inspection cycle is organized, including the timing of selection of individual engagements, depends on many factors, such as the following:

- The size of the firm.
- The number and geographical location of offices.
- The results of previous monitoring procedures.
- The degree of authority both personnel and offices have (for example, whether individual offices are authorized to conduct their own inspections or whether only the head office may conduct them).
- The nature and complexity of the firm's practice and organization.
- The risks associated with the firm's clients and specific engagements.

A67. The inspection process includes the selection of individual engagements, some of which may be selected without prior notification to the engagement team. In determining the scope of the inspections, the firm may take into account the scope or conclusions of an independent external inspection program. However, an independent external inspection program does not act as a substitute for the firm's own internal monitoring program.

Considerations Specific to Smaller Firms

A68. In the case of small firms, monitoring procedures may need to be performed by individuals who are responsible for design and implementation of the firm's quality control policies and procedures, or who may be involved in performing the engagement

quality control review. A firm with a limited number of persons may choose to use a suitably qualified external person or another firm to carry out engagement inspections and other monitoring procedures. Alternatively, the firm may establish arrangements to share resources with other appropriate organizations to facilitate monitoring activities.

Communicating Deficiencies (Ref: Para. 50)

A69. The reporting of identified deficiencies to individuals other than the relevant engagement partners need not include an identification of the specific engagements concerned, although there may be cases where such identification may be necessary for the proper discharge of the responsibilities of the individuals other than the engagement partners.

Complaints and Allegations

Source of Complaints and Allegations (Ref: Para. 55)

A70. Complaints and allegations (which do not include those that are clearly frivolous) may originate from within or outside the firm. They may be made by firm personnel, clients or other third parties. They may be received by engagement team members or other firm personnel.

Investigation Policies and Procedures (Ref: Para. 56)

A71. Policies and procedures established for the investigation of complaints and allegations may include for example, that the partner supervising the investigation:

- Has sufficient and appropriate experience;
- Has authority within the firm; and
- Is otherwise not involved in the engagement.

The partner supervising the investigation may involve legal counsel as necessary.

Considerations specific to smaller firms

A72. It may not be practicable, in the case of firms with few partners, for the partner supervising the investigation not to be involved in the engagement. These small firms and sole practitioners may use the services of a suitably qualified external person or another firm to carry out the investigation into complaints and allegations.

Documentation of the System of Quality Control (Ref: Para. 57)

A73. The form and content of documentation evidencing the operation of each of the elements of the system of quality control is a matter of judgment and depends on a number of factors, including the following:

- The size of the firm and the number of offices.
- The nature and complexity of the firm's practice and organization.

For example, large firms may use electronic databases to document matters such as independence confirmations, performance evaluations and the results of monitoring inspections.

A74. Appropriate documentation relating to monitoring includes, for example:

- Monitoring procedures, including the procedure for selecting completed engagements to be inspected.

- A record of the evaluation of:
 - Adherence to professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements;
 - Whether the system of quality control has been appropriately designed and effectively implemented; and
 - Whether the firm's quality control policies and procedures have been appropriately applied, so that reports that are issued by the firm or engagement partners are appropriate in the circumstances.
- Identification of the deficiencies noted, an evaluation of their effect, and the basis for determining whether and what further action is necessary.

Considerations Specific to Smaller Firms

A75. Smaller firms may use more informal methods in the documentation of their systems of quality control such as manual notes, checklists and forms.